

Figure 1. ORTEP representation of 3. Hydrogen bonds are indicated by open bonds, and the disordered THF solvate which is not involved in the hydrogen bonding is omitted.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR shifts for a titration of 3,<sup>11</sup> which contains a chloride bound to tungsten, with val were similar although the binding constant ( $K_3 = 1.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ M}^{-1}$ ) is smaller. The more electronegative fluoride appears to be a better hydrogen bond acceptor than chloride when coordinated to tungsten(II).

The solution NMR data for the interaction of 1-3 with val suggest the chelating structure depicted in Scheme I. Solid-state structural studies of 3 provide support for this formulation.<sup>12</sup> Like the tungsten fluoride 1, the chloride 3 crystallizes as a head-to-tail dimer. Relevant metrical parameters are given in Scheme I; the NH---Cl distance is consistent with hydrogen bonding in the solid state.<sup>13</sup> The  $Et_2O$  solvate is tightly bound to H(1n).

Cocrystallization of 3-Et<sub>2</sub>O with 5 equiv of val in THF by vapor diffusion of  $Et_2O$  affords single crystals of the adduct 4 as illustrated in Figure 1.<sup>14</sup> Dimers of 4 related by a center of inversion generate the tetrameric structure. Hydrogen bonding between 3 and val is clearly evident as depicted in Scheme I (lower half). Note that the NH(3n)...Cl distance in 4 is shorter than

(11) (a) Preparation of 2 is analogous to our published procedure for 1.<sup>6a</sup> IR (Nujol mull, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3160, 3052 (m,  $\nu_{\rm NH}$ ), 2009, 1934, 1893 (s,  $\nu_{\rm CO}$ ); nonaromatic <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  9.596 (<sup>3</sup>J<sub>WH</sub> = 7.7 Hz),  $\delta$  6.60 (d, J = 11.8 Hz),  $\delta$ 6.15 (d, J = 11.8 Hz). Anal for 2-Et<sub>2</sub>O. Calcd C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>2</sub>ClO<sub>4</sub>W: C, 41.95; H, 3.70; N, 4.89. Found: C, 42.05; H, 3.55; N, 5.02. (b) Binding constant determined by averaging  $K_3$  for each proton. (12) Crystal data for 2-Et<sub>2</sub>O: WClO<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>21</sub>, red-orange, triclinic,  $P\bar{1}$ , a = 10.172 (3) Å, b = 10.524 (4) Å, c = 11.117 (4) Å,  $\alpha = 115.52$  (2)°,  $\beta$ = 101.24 (3)°,  $\gamma = 84.04$  (3)°, V = 1053.2 Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2, Mo K $\alpha$ . Of 3751 reflections collected at ambient temperature (Syntex P1, 2° < 26 < 49°) 3305 were unique of which 3118 had  $I > 3\sigma(I)$  and were used in the solution and refinement (SDP PLUS Software). Final refinement included all non-hy-drogen atoms as anisotropic and hydrogen atoms as idealized isotropic condrogen atoms as anisotropic and hydrogen atoms as idealized isotropic contributions. All hydrogens were located on a difference map but not refined.

for 253 parameters, R = 0.022 and  $R_w = 0.025$ , GOF = 1.3, and highest peak in the final map of 1.1 e/Å approximately 1.1 Å from W. (13) (a) Hamilton, W. C.; Ibers, J. A. Hydrogen Bonding in Solids; Benjamin: New York, 1968. (b) Bronty, C.; Spinat, P.; Whuler, A. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B 1980, 36, 1967. (c) Novak, A. Struct. Bonding 1974, 18, 177.

(14) (a) Anal for 3-THF. Calcd  $C_{25}H_{28}N_3ClO_5W$ : C, 44.83; H, 4.21; N, 6.27. Found: C, 44.73; H, 4.22; N, 6.27. (b) Crystal data for 3-THF: WClO\_5N\_3C\_{25}H\_{28}, red-orange, monoclinic, C2/c, a = 19.939 (5) Å, b = 14.025 (4) Å, c = 19.411 (4) Å,  $\beta = 99.44$  (2)°, V = 5354.5 Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 8, Mo Ka. (60) 4319 reflections collected at ambient temperature (Syntex P1,  $2^{\circ} < 2\theta < 48^{\circ}$ ) 4049 were unique of which 2750 had  $I > 3\sigma(I)$  and were used in the solution and refinement (SDP PLUS Software). Final refinement included all nonhydrogen atoms as anisotropic and hydrogen atoms as idealized isotropic contributions. H(1n), H(2n), and H(3n) were located on a difference map, and remaining hydrogens were placed in calculated positions but not refined. For 271 parameters, R = 0.054 and  $R_w = 0.074$ , GOF = 1.7, and highest peak in the final map of 1.3  $e/Å^3$  approximately 0.80 Å from W.

the related interval in the homomolecular dimer 3. This suggests that stronger hydrogen-bonding interactions exist in the heterodimer 4 relative to the parent compound 3. Solid-state IR spectra show that the amide carbonyl stretching frequency of val is reduced by 42 cm<sup>-1</sup> upon complex formation; the NH bands broaden and shift to lower frequency ( $v_{NH} = 3278$  (m), 3235 (m), 3125 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>) compared to free val.<sup>7</sup>

Preliminary experiments show that 1 also interacts with protected nucleosides in nonaqueous solution. The binding constant for 2',3'-O-isopropylidene-5'-O-acetyl uridine<sup>15</sup> in acetone is 3.6  $M^{-1}$  at 25 °C. Although the strength of the binding is modest, these studies show that transition-metal complexes possessing cis-amino halide ligands can act as ditopic molecular receptors for biologically relevant molecules. This property should be general and most evident for coordination complexes containing fluoride. Elimination of HF<sup>16</sup> from hydrogen-bonded complexes such as these may enable a covalent host-guest linkage to be formed. We are continuing to investigate the chemistry of this new class of receptors.

Acknowledgment. We thank Professor D. A. Dougherty (California Institute of Technology) for a copy of the NMRFIT program, Professor C. D. Poulter for helpful discussions, and the referees for cogent comments. T.G.R. is the recipient of a Distinguished New Faculty Grant from the Camille and Henry Dreyfus Foundation Inc. We are grateful for support from the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, and the University of Utah Research Committee. High field NMR spectrometers employed in this work were obtained through departmental grants from the National Science Foundation.

Supplementary Material Available: Titration data for complexes 1-3 and full crystallographic data for 3-Et<sub>2</sub>O and 4-THF including tables of bond distances and angles and final positional and thermal parameters (39 pages); a listing of calculated and observed structure factors (39 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

(16) (a) Basolo, F.; Matoush, W. R.; Pearson, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 4833.

## Photochemical Activation of CO<sub>2</sub>. Transient Absorbance Kinetic Studies of the Addition of CO<sub>2</sub> to a Metal-to-Bridging Ligand Charge-Transfer State of a **Binuclear Ni(0) Complex**

Frederick R. Lemke, Dru L. DeLaet, Jiali Gao, and Clifford P. Kubiak\*,1

> Department of Chemistry, Purdue University West Lafayette, Indiana 47907 Received June 13, 1988

We report the photochemical activation of  $CO_2$  by a ligandcentered charge-transfer excited state of a binuclear Ni(0) complex. The activation and reduction of carbon dioxide are areas of considerable interest and potential importance for artificially recycling the products of combustion and respiration.<sup>2-16</sup> Among

- 4) Darensbourg, D. J.; Kudaroski, R. A. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 22. 129.
- (5) Inoue, S.; Koinuma, H.; Rev. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 6, 291.
   (6) Lundquist, E. G.; Huffman, J. C.; Caulton, K. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
- 1986, 108, 8309.

(7) Lee, G. R.; Cooper, N. J. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1467.
(8) DeLaet, D. L.; del Rosario, R.; Fanwick, P. E.; Kubiak, C. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 754.

<sup>(15) (</sup>a) Iwahashi, H.; Kyogoku, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 7761.
(b) Reuben, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 316. (c) Gmeiner, W. H.; Poulter, C. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc., in press.

<sup>(1)</sup> Research Fellow of the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, 1987-1989.

 <sup>(2)</sup> Eisenberg, R.; Hendriksen, D. E. Adv. Catal. 1979, 28, 79.
 (3) Palmer, D. A.; van Eldik, R. Chem. Rev. 1983, 83, 651.

Scheme I. Photochemistry of Ni<sub>2</sub>(µ-CNMe)(CNMe)<sub>2</sub>(dppm)<sub>2</sub>, 1, with CO<sub>2</sub> and PhCl



the various chemical,<sup>2-8</sup> electrochemical,<sup>8-12</sup> and photochemical<sup>13-16</sup> approaches to CO<sub>2</sub> activation by transition-metal complexes, photochemical methods have received the least attention. Excited states of transition-metal complexes are generally dissociative with respect to metal-ligand or metal-metal bonding; and CO<sub>2</sub> is not a common ligand in either ground or excited state complexes. The chemistry and electrochemistry of the binuclear nickel (0) complex  $Ni_2(\mu$ -CNMe)(CNMe)<sub>2</sub>(dppm)<sub>2</sub> (1) (dppm = bis(diphenylphosphino)methane) with  $CO_2$  were recently reported.<sup>8</sup> A photochemical reaction of 1 with CO<sub>2</sub> also was noted.<sup>13</sup> We now describe our studies of the spectroscopy and reactivity of the excited state involved in the photochemical reaction of 1 with CO<sub>2</sub>.

Photolysis of THF solutions of 1 in the presence of  $CO_2$  (1 atm) leads to the formation of a  $CO_2$  cycloadduct with the  $\mu$ -CNMe ligand. The product of the photochemical reaction,  $Ni_2(\mu$ - $CN(Me)C(O)O)(CNMe)_2(dppm)_2$ , 2, is formed with an overall quantum yield,  $\Phi_{355} = 0.05$ , eq 1, and is identical with the product



Figure 1. Plots of  $k_{obsd} = 1/\tau$ , the rate of disappearance of Nd-YAG laser (3 $\nu_0$ , 355 nm) induced transient absorbance of Ni<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -CNMe)- $(CNMe)_2(dppm)_2$ , 1, at 550 nm, versus  $[CO_2]$  ( $\diamond$ ) and [PhCl] ( $\blacktriangle$ ).

of the thermal reaction of 1 with liquid CO<sub>2</sub> at 25 °C, described earlier.<sup>8</sup> There is no evidence for a dark reaction of 1 with CO<sub>2</sub>



at pressures 3 atm or less. The photochemical reaction of 1 with  $CO_2$  at 1 atm is the first example of a bimolecular association of  $CO_2$  to an excited state of a transition-metal complex. We note that 1 does not emit, even as a crystalline solid at 77 K. Therefore transient absorbance kinetic studies were undertaken to probe this unusual photochemistry.

Pulsed laser excitation (355 nm) into the lowest energy electronic absorption band of 1 in THF or benzene produces an intense transient absorbance.<sup>17</sup> The transient absorbance signal achieves

(12) Frese, K. W.; Summers, D. P.; Cinibulk, M. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1988. 135. 264.

(13) DeLaet, D. L.; Fanwick, P. E.; Kubiak, C. P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 1412.

(14) (a) Hawecker, J.; Lehn, J.-M.; Zeissel, R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. (19) (a) Hawczecki, S., Echn, J.-M., Zeissel, R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem.
 Commun. 1983, 536. (b) Lehn, J.-M.; Zeissel, R. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
 U.S.A. 1982, 79, 701.
 (15) Kutal, C.; Corbin, A. J.; Ferraudi, G. Organometallics 1987, 6, 553.
 (16) Belmore, K. A.; Vanderpool, R. A.; Tsai, J.-C.; Khan, M. A.; Nic-

holas, K. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 2004.

(17) A complete description and diagramatic layout of the laser flash photolysis system employed in these studies is included as Supplementary Material.

<sup>(9)</sup> Wu, J.; Fanwick, P. E.; Kubiak, C. P. Organometallics 1987, 6, 1805.
(10) Fisher, B. J.; Eisenberg, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 7361.
(11) Bruce, M. R. M.; Megehee, E.; Sullivan, B. P.; Thorp, H.; O'Toole, T. R.; Downard, A.; Meyer, T. J. Organometallics 1988, 7, 238 and references

therein

maximum intensity at 550 nm, a region where 1 does not absorb, and decays unimolecularly with  $\tau = 300 \,\mu s$ . The observed signal is assigned to  $M_2 \rightarrow \mu$ -LCT triplet-triplet absorbance (vide infra). The transient absorbance exhibits partial saturation at high laser powers,<sup>18-20</sup> suggesting that the observed state is formed by a process of limited efficiency from the initial excited state, consistent with  $[M_2 \rightarrow \mu\text{-LCT}]^1 \rightarrow [M_2 \rightarrow \mu\text{-LCT}]^3$  conversion. The method of Carmichael and Hug<sup>19</sup> was employed to fit the partial saturation of transient absorbance and obtain the triplet conversion quantum yield,  $\Phi_{\rm T} = 0.2$ .

In the presence of  $CO_2$ , the rate of disappearance of  $[M_2 \mu$ -LCT]<sup>3</sup> absorbance exhibits a first-order dependence on [CO<sub>2</sub>]. The photochemical kinetics of 1 with  $CO_2$  were examined over the CO<sub>2</sub> pressure range 1-3 atm. Pressures of CO<sub>2</sub> were calibrated against  $[CO_2]$  in THF solution by the method of Daniele et al.<sup>21</sup> The lifetime  $\tau$  of  $[M_2 \rightarrow \mu$ -LCT]<sup>3</sup> absorbance exhibits an inverse linear dependence on  $[CO_2]$  from which the rate constant for  $CO_2$ addition,  $k_{CO_2} = 1 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , was determined. The  $[M_2 \rightarrow$  $\mu$ -LCT]<sup>3</sup> state of 1 is also reactive with respect to the addition of a variety of other reagents. For example PhCl, which is thermally unreactive with 1 when employed as the solvent under reflux, reacts photochemically with the  $[M_2 \rightarrow \mu\text{-LCT}]^3$  state with a bimolecular rate constant,  $k_{PhCl} = 4 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , to form the  $\mu$ -phenyl amino-carbyne complex,  $[Ni_2(\mu$ -CN(Ph)Me)-(CNMe)<sub>2</sub>(dppm)<sub>2</sub>]Cl, 3.<sup>22</sup> The dependence of  $k_{obsd} = 1/\tau$  for the transient absorbance of 1 versus [CO<sub>2</sub>] and [PhCl] is given in Figure 1. The overall photochemical reactivity of 1 with  $CO_2$ and PhCl is presented in Scheme I.

The nature of the lowest energy electronic absorption spectral band of 1 was also assessed by bridging ligand substituent and solvent effects. The electronic absorption spectrum of 1 exhibits an intense, broad band centered at  $\lambda_{max} = 345 \text{ nm}$  ( $\epsilon = 19000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) in THF. The series of  $\mu$ -aryl isocyanide complexes  $Ni_2(\mu-L)(CNMe)_2(dppm)_2$ , L = CNC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (4), CN-*p*-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Cl (5), and  $CN-p-C_6H_4Me$  (6), were prepared by substitution of one of the MeNC ligands of  $1.2^3$  Within the group of complexes 4-6 values of  $\lambda_{max}$  are quite insensitive to the nature of the para substituent on the aryl group but are shifted to longer wavelengths by 35 nm relative to the  $\mu$ -methyl isocyanide complex 1 in THF.<sup>23</sup> There are also significant intensity differences between the aryl complexes 4-6 and 1. The values of  $\epsilon$  determined for the aryl complexes 4-6 are 20-100% higher than the values for 1 in THF and benzene. The bathochromic shifts and intensity increases resulting from replacement of alkyl substituent with aryl substituents are consistent with metal-to- $\mu$ -ligand charge transfer (M<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow \mu$ -LCT).<sup>24</sup> The electronic absorption spectra of the  $\mu$ -isocyanide complexes 1 and 4-6 also exhibit significant solvent dependence. Hypsochromic shifts as large as 85 nm (THF/MeCN) are observed in more polar solvents. This is consistent with a Frank-Condon destabilized excited state model in which a polar solvent is unable to reorient itself in response to an excited state of polarity markedly different from the ground state. Extended Huckel calculations support the notion of metal-to-bridging ligand charge transfer. In an electronically saturated d<sup>10</sup>-d<sup>10</sup> configuration of nickel atoms interacting with unsaturated methyl isocyanide ligands, bridging isocyanide  $\pi^*/Ni_2 d\pi^*$  interactions

determine both the HOMO and LUMO.<sup>25</sup> The  $\pi^*(\parallel)$  component of the  $\mu$ -CNMe ligand and highest energy Ni<sub>2</sub> d $\pi^*$  combination contribute to a HOMO of metal-ligand  $\pi$ -bonding character. The second  $\pi^*$  component ( $\perp$ ) of the  $\mu$ -CNMe ligand is not disposed by symmetry to interact significantly with the Ni<sub>2</sub> framework and becomes the LUMO. The Huckel description thus predicts a LUMO of essentially pure  $\mu$ -CNMe  $\pi^*$  character, consistent with the spectroscopic studies described above.

Our results suggest that a long-lived excited state of a binuclear transition-metal complex can be employed to associate with the  $CO_2$  molecule. Previous studies from this laboratory indicate that the association of  $CO_2$  with 1 results in the activation of  $CO_2$ toward oxygen atom transfer reactions.<sup>8,13</sup> Continued studies of the photochemical activation and reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> are presently underway.

Acknowledgment. We are extremely grateful to Professor George McLendon (Rochester), Professors Dave McMillin and Ed Grant (Purdue), and Dr. Jay Winkler (Brookhaven) for suggestions and helpful discussions, Profesor William Jorgensen (Purdue) for assistance and support with extended Huckel calculations, and NSF (CHE-8707963) for support.

Supplementary Material Available: Description and diagrammatic layout of laser flash photolysis system; preparative details, IR, UV-vis, and <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectroscopic data for 4, 5, and 6; transient absorbance versus laser power data; and details of the determination of triplet conversion quantum yield (5 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Transition-State Geometry in Epoxidation by Iron-Oxo Porphyrin at the Compound I Oxidation Level. Epoxidation of Alkenes Catalyzed by a Sterically Hindered (meso-Tetrakis(2,6-dibromophenyl)porphinato)iron(III) Chloride

Dražen Ostović and Thomas C. Bruice\*

Department of Chemistry University of California at Santa Barbara Santa Barbara, California 93106

Received May 9, 1988

Although many studies of iron porphyrin catalyzed epoxidations have appeared in the literature,<sup>1-3</sup> the mechanism of epoxidation remains unresolved. The following mechanisms have been considered: (i) concerted oxygen insertion into the double bond (the oxenoid character of the iron bound oxygen atom supports this

<sup>(18)</sup> Transient absorbance versus laser power data and details of the determination of the triplet conversion quantum yield,  $\Phi_T$ , are included as Supplementary Material.

<sup>(19) (</sup>a) Carmichael, I.; Hug, G. L. J. Phys. Chem. 1985, 89, 4036. (b)
Hug, G. L.; Carmichael, I. J. Photochem. 1985, 31, 179.
(20) Murasecco-Suardi, P.; Gassmann, E.; Braun, A. M.; Oliveros, E.
Helv. Chim. Acta 1987, 70, 1760.

<sup>(21)</sup> Daniele, S.; Ugo, P.; Bontempelli, G.; Fiorani, M. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1987, 219, 259.

<sup>(22)</sup> Complex 3 was prepared by photolysis ( $\lambda = 355$  or 366 nm) of a PhCl (22) Complex 3 was prepared by photolysis ( $\lambda = 355$  or 366 nm) of a PhCl solution of 1 or, as the corresponding iodide salt, by addition of excess MeI (3  $\mu$ L, 0.05 mmol) to a THF solution of 4 (0.026 g, 0.025 mmol). Recrystallization from THF/hexanes affords the crystalline solid, yield 90%. [ $Ni_2(\mu-CN(Ph)Me)(CNMe)_2(dppm)_2[Cl(3)$ : IR (KBr)  $\nu$  (CN) 2135 s, 1523 m cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>31</sup>Pl<sup>1</sup>H} NMR  $\delta$  20.2 (m); UV-vis ( $\lambda_{max} (\epsilon)$ ) 550 nm (1600) (THF). (23) Details of the preparation of complexes 4, 5, and 6 and their IR, UV-vis, and <sup>31</sup>Pl<sup>1</sup>H} data are included as Supplementary Material. (24) Phifer C C : McMillin D. R. Incore Chem 1986 25, 1329

<sup>(24)</sup> Phifer, C. C.; McMillin, D. R. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 1329.

<sup>(25)</sup> All calculations were performed for  $Ni_2(\mu$ -CNH)(CNH)<sub>2</sub>-(PH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>PH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> with the extended Huckel method. See: Hoffmann, R. J. J. *Chem. Phys.* **1963**, 39, 1397. the parameters used for nickel were adopted in the present study from earlier work of Hoffmann, see: Hoffmann, D. M.; Hoffmann, R.; Fisel, C. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 3858.

<sup>(1)</sup> Cytochrome P-450; Structure, Mechanism, and Biochemistry; Ortiz

<sup>(1)</sup> Criterione 1-50, Stracture, Mechanism, and Biochemistry, Ott2
(2) (a) Watabe, T.; Akamatsu, K. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1976, 23, 1079.
(b) Watabe, T.; Ueno, Y.; Imazumi, J. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1971, 20, 912.
(c) Ortiz de Montellano, P. R.; Mangold, B. L. K.; Wheeler, C.; Kunze, K. L.; Reich, N. O. J. Biol. Chem. 1983, 258, 4212. (d) Castellino, A. J.; Bruice, T. C. L. Am. Chem. Soc. 1099, 101 112.

<sup>L.; Reich, N. O. J. Biol. Chem. 1983, 258, 4212. (d) Castellino, A. J.; Bruice, T. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1313.
(3) (a) Traylor, T. G.; Nakano, T.; Miksztal, A. R.; Dunlap, B. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3625. (b) Traylor, T. G.; Nakano, T.; Dunlap, B. E.; Traylor, P.; Dolphin, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 2782. (c) Traylor, T. G.; Miksztal, A. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 2782. (c) Traylor, A. J.; Bruice, T. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 158. (e) Liebler, D. C.; Guengerich, F. P. Biochemistry 1983, 22, 5482. (f) Mansuy, D.; Leclaire, J.; Fontecave, M.; Momenteau, M. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1984, 119, 319. (g) Groves, J. T.; Subramanian, D. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 2177.</sup> 106, 2177.

<sup>0002-7863/88/1510-6906\$01.50/0 © 1988</sup> American Chemical Society